IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	
Karl PAYS et al.) Group Art Unit: 1619
Application No. 10/821,920) Examiner: Venkat, Jyothsna A.
Filed: April 12, 2004	Confirmation No. 2432
For: COSMETIC COMPOSITION COMPRISING AT LEAST ONE AMORPHOUS FILM-FORMING POLYMER AND HAVING A CERTAIN THERMAL PROFILE)))))
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	VIA EFS WEB

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b)

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56 and 1.97(b), Applicants bring to the attention of the Examiner the documents on the attached listing. This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed concurrently with a Request for Continued Examination in the above-referenced application.

Copies of the listed foreign and non-patent literature documents are attached.

Copies of the U.S. patents, patent application publications, co-pending applications and respective office actions are not enclosed as they are available on the Imaged File Wrapper of PAIR.

The United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit held in *Dayco Products, Inc. v. Total Containment, Inc.*, 329 F.3d 1358, 66 U.S.P.Q.2d 1801 (Fed. Cir. 2003), that an "adverse decision" by another examiner may meet the materiality standard under the amended Rule 56, and thus, Applicants should disclose prior rejections of "substantially similar claim[s]" to the Office. *See also* M.P.E.P. § 2001.06(b). Accordingly, although Applicant is not representing that the Office Actions in the co-pending applications are material to the present application and is not admitting that any of the other claims are substantially similar, out of an abundance of caution, Applicant has listed the substantive Office Actions in co-pending applications on the attached form.

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner consider the listed documents and indicate that they were considered by making appropriate notations on the attached form.

The following is a statement regarding the non-English language document:

1. **EP 1 281 384**: This document is believed to be related to U.S. Patent No. 7,029,662, which is listed on the attached Form PTO-SB-08.

This submission does not represent that a search has been made or that no better art exists and does not constitute an admission that each or all of the listed documents are material or constitute "prior art." If the Examiner applies any of the documents as prior art against any claims in the application and Applicants determine that the cited documents do not constitute "prior art" under United States law, Applicants reserve the right to present to the Office the relevant facts and law regarding the appropriate status of such documents.

Application No. 10/821,920 Attorney Docket No. 05725.1347

Applicants further reserve the right to take appropriate action to establish the patentability of the disclosed invention over the listed documents, should one or more of the documents be applied against the claims of the present application.

If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this Statement, please charge the fee to Deposit Account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: April 3, 2009 By: <u>/Brandon B. Crisp/</u>

Brandon B. Crisp Reg. No. 63,138 (571) 203-2768